

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/686,886	10/15/2003	Christopher A. Rygaard	1010722-991141	7560
26181 7590 01/22/2007 FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. PO BOX 1022			EXAMINER	
			MORAN, RANDAL D	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2135	
······································				
SHORTENED STATUTOR	RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		01/22/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Orași .		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/686,886	RYGAARD, CHRISTOPHER A.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Randal D. Moran	2135
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet wi	ith the correspondence address -
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNION.  .136(a). In no event, however, may a red will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ite, cause the application to become AB	CATION. Teply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15	October 2003	
·— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the applicatio	n	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>15 October 2003</u> is/ar	e: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ o	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyar	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	·	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	, p	
1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documer		application No.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		· ·
application from the International Bure	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	•	received.
	·	
		-
Attachment(s)		
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	·	s)/Mail Date
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		nformal Patent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :3/1/2004, 3/22/2004, and 2/14/2005.

Art Unit: 2196

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- The Information Disclosure Statements filed on 3/1/2002, 3/22/2004, and
   2/14/2005 have been considered by the examiner.
- 2. Claims 1-23 are pending in the application.

## Claim Objections

- 3. The following claims are objected to for lack of antecedent basis.
  - a.) Claim 2- line 3, Claim 3- line 26, Claim 4- line 4, Claim 6- lines 2-3,

    Claim 7- line 2, Claim 8- line 3, Claim 13- line 3, Claim 15- line 3, Claim

    16, line 2, Claim 17- lines 2 and 4, Claim 18- lines 2 and 4, Claim 21
    line 3, Claim 22- lines 2, 3, and 4, and Claim 23- line 4 recite the

    limitation "the piece of code." "The piece of code from the database"

    would make the claim less ambiguous.

### **Drawings**

4. Figures 1-2 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to

Art Unit: 2196

avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansen et al. (NIST Special Publication 800-19 Mobile Agent Security), hereafter "Jansen" in view of Walsh (US 6,233,601).
- 7. Jansen is cited by the applicant in IDS paper filed on 2/14/2005.
- 7. Considering Claim 1, Jansen discloses a computer implemented jumping application security console (Section 3.2- Integrity, lines 1-5) that maintains the

Art Unit: 2196

security of a jumping application that is jumping between one or more hosts connected to the security console (Figure 1, Section 4.2 – Protecting Agents, ¶ 3 lines 4-6), the security console comprising: a security module that controls the security of a jumping application (Section 4.1 – Protecting the agent platform, lines 1-27).

Jansen does not disclose a database that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code, wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior; and wherein the security module further comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior.

Walsh does disclose a database that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code (column 2, lines 23-25, column 4, lines 25-28 and 37-38), wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior (column 4, lines 64-67, column 5, lines 1-5); and wherein the security module further comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by a database containing code that will replace code on the jumping application as

system.

taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient

8. Considering Claim 5, Jansen discloses a computer implemented jumping application security console (Section 3.2- Integrity, lines 1-5) that maintains the security of a jumping application that is jumping between one or more hosts connected to the security console (Figure 1, Section 4.2 – Protecting Agents, ¶ 3 lines 4-6), the security console comprising: means for controlling the security of a jumping application (Section 4.1 – Protecting the agent platform, lines 1-27).

Jansen does not disclose means for storing one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code, wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior; and wherein the security controlling means further comprises means for replacing code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior.

Walsh does disclose means for storing one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code (column 2, lines 23-25, column 4, lines 25-28 and 37-38), wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior (column 4, lines 64-67, column 5, lines 1-5); and wherein the security controlling means further comprises means for replacing code from the jumping application

**Art Unit: 2196** 

that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by a database containing code that will replace code on the jumping application as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

9. Considering Claim 9, Jansen discloses a computer-implemented method for controlling the security of a jumping application in a jumping application system (Figure 1, Section 4.2 – Protecting Agents, ¶ 3 lines 4-6, Section 3.2- Integrity, lines 1-5).

Jansen does not disclose receiving a request for a piece of code that implements a particular behavior for a jumping application; and replacing code in the jumping application that implements the particular behavior with a piece of code that implements the particular behavior into the jumping application so that the jumping application has the particular behavior when it is executed by a host in the jumping application system.

Art Unit: 2196

Walsh does disclose receiving a request for a piece of code that implements a particular behavior for a jumping application (column 4, lines 30-35); and replacing code in the jumping application that implements the particular behavior with a piece of code that implements the particular behavior into the jumping application so that the jumping application has the particular behavior when it is executed by a host in the jumping application system (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by a database containing code that will replace code on the jumping application as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

10. Considering Claim 14, Jansen discloses a jumping application security system (Section 3.2- Integrity, lines 1-5), comprising: a security console computer system that control the security of a jumping application in the jumping application security system (Section 4.1 – Protecting the agent platform); one or more host computers connected to the first computer by a computer network (Figure 1), wherein each host computer executes a jumping application (Figure 1).

**Art Unit: 2196** 

Jansen does not disclose the console further comprises a database that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code, wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior; and a security module that comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior.

Walsh does disclose the console further comprises a database that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code (column 2, lines 23-25, column 4, lines 25-28 and 37-38), wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior (column 4, lines 64-67, column 5, lines 1-5); and a security module that comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by a database containing code that will replace code on the jumping application as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

Art Unit: 2196

application security system (Section 4.2 – Protecting Agents, ¶ 3 lines 4-6).

Jansen does not disclose the server comprising: a processor; a memory connected to the processor; a database connected to the processor that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code, wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior; and wherein the memory further comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior.

Walsh does disclose the server comprising: a processor; a memory connected to the processor (column 4, lines 25-28); a database connected to the processor that contains one or more pieces of code and a description of each piece of code (column 2, lines 23-25, column 4, lines 25-28 and 37-38), wherein each piece of code implements a particular behavior (column 4, lines 64-67, column 5, lines 1-5); and wherein the memory further comprises instructions that replace code from the jumping application that implements a first behavior with a piece of code from the database into the jumping application that implements the first behavior (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by a database containing code that will replace code on the jumping application as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the

**Art Unit: 2196** 

desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

12. Considering Claims 2, 6, 10, 15, and 21, Jansen does not disclose the instructions that replace code further comprises instructions that remove any existing code in the jumping application and then instructions that insert the piece of code that implements the particular behavior into the jumping application.

Walsh does disclose the instructions that replace code further comprises instructions that remove any existing code in the jumping application and then instructions that insert the piece of code that implements the particular behavior into the jumping application (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by instructions that will replace code on the jumping application as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

13. Considering Claims 3, 7, and 16, Jansen does not disclose the security module further comprises instructions for receiving a request for a piece of code, by a host, that implements a particular behavior for a jumping application.

Art Unit: 2196

Walsh does disclose the security module further comprises instructions for receiving a request for a piece of code, by a host, that implements a particular behavior for a jumping application (column 4, lines 30-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by instructions for receiving a request for a piece of code that implements a particular behavior as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

14. Considering Claims 4, 8, 13, 19, and 23, Jansen discloses instructions that store a list of the code removed from the jumping application (Section 4.1.2 – Safe Code Interpretation, ¶ 2 lines 7-13).

Jansen does not disclose the instructions to replace the code further comprises instructions that remove the code from the jumping application and instructions that insert the piece of code into the jumping application based on the list of code removed from the jumping application.

Walsh does disclose the instructions to replace the code further comprises instructions that remove the code from the jumping application (column 4, lines 30-35), and instructions that insert the piece of code into the jumping application

Art Unit: 2196

based on the list of code removed from the jumping application (column 4, lines 37-38, column 8, lines 32-36).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by instructions for removing code from the jumping application and inserting code based on the list as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

15. Considering Claim 11, Jansen does not disclose receiving the request further comprises generating a query, by a host to a security console, of the pieces of code contained in a database of the security console and selecting, by the host, the piece of code to be replaced in the jumping application.

Walsh does disclose the request further comprises generating a query, by a host to a security console, of the pieces of code contained in a database of the security console and selecting, by the host, the piece of code to be replaced in the jumping application (column 6, lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by generating a query to gather the piece of code from the database as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the

jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

16. Considering Claim 12, Jansen does not disclose the replacing the code further comprises downloading the piece of code to the host in response to the selection of the piece of code by the host and inserting the piece of code, by the host, into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior.

Walsh does disclose the replacing the code further comprises downloading the piece of code to the host in response to the selection of the piece of code by the host and inserting the piece of code, by the host, into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior (column 6, lines 49-62).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by downloading the piece of code to the host computer in response to the selection of the piece of code by the host and instructions on the host computer that insert the piece of code into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a the code readily available and not on the mobile system. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

17. Considering Claim 17, Jansen does not disclose the instructions that receive a request further comprises instructions on the host computer that generate a

query of the pieces of code contained in a database of the security console and instructions on the host computer that select the piece of code to be replaced in the jumping application.

Walsh does disclose the instructions that receive a request further comprises instructions on the host computer that generate a query of the pieces of code contained in a database of the security console (column 6, lines 49-52); and instructions on the host computer that select the piece of code to be replaced in the jumping application (column 4, lines 30-35).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by generating a query to gather the piece of code from the database as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a home code base that will provide the desired code to the jumping application. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

18. Considering Claims 18 and 22, Jansen does not disclose the instructions that replace the code further comprises instructions that download the piece of code to the host computer in response to the selection of the piece of code by the host and instructions on the host computer that insert the piece of code into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior.

Walsh does disclose the instructions that replace the code further comprises instructions that download the piece of code to the host computer in response to

Art Unit: 2196

the selection of the piece of code by the host and instructions on the host computer that insert the piece of code into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior (column 6, lines 49-52).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Jansen by downloading the piece of code to the host computer in response to the selection of the piece of code by the host and instructions on the host computer that insert the piece of code into the jumping application to implement the particular behavior as taught by Walsh for the benefit of having a the code readily available and not on the mobile system. Having the necessary code on the jumping system would reduce the network load and provide for a more efficient system.

#### Conclusion

19. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Randal D. Moran whose telephone number is 571-270-1255. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 7:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nabil El-Hady can be reached on 571-272-3963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2196

Page 16

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Randal D. Moran

1/16/06

NABIL M. EL-HADY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

x/ S/Had